

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) Form

DR. RAJENDRA PRASAD CENTRAL AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, PUSA

**Annual Performance Assessment Report for Scientific Personnel of the
RPCAU, Pusa Report for the year/period ending.....**

PART - 1: General Particulars (to be filled in by the Administrative Office)

1. Name of the Scientist (in full): _____
2. Date of birth: _____
3. Date of entry in the University service: _____
4. Present designation: _____
5. Date of joining the Present Grade: _____
6. Present pay: _____
7. Research Station/ Institute/Department/College
in which working: _____

8. Details of service in difficult/remote/backward areas/disadvantaged areas:

Period	Station	Designation

9. Academic qualification acquired during the year reported upon (in case no academic qualification has been acquired, the highest degree along with specialization need be given):

Degree	Year	Subject(s)	Institution

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

10. Period on leave during the year:

11. Please state whether the annual return on immovable property for the preceding calendar year was filed within the prescribed date i.e. 31st January of the year following the calendar year. If not, the date of filing the return should be given:

12. Please attach Annual Health Checkup report for the assessment year.

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

PART - 2: Self – Assessment (to be filled in by the Scientist reported upon)

2.1 Activities and Achievements:

Activities approved and accomplished (*Please choose whichever is applicable to you*):

S.N.	Activities Planned and Targets*	Time Spent** (%)	Achieved*** (%)	Reasons for Shortfalls / Constraints, if any
1.	Research Activities * * * *			
2.	Transfer of technology * * * *			
3.	Teaching * * * *			
4.	Training * * * *			
5.	Management & Maintenance of Genetic Resources & Research database: * * * *			
6.	Institutional Support: Administration/Management/ Coordination activities Resource Generation			
7.	Organizing conferences/ workshops/ seminars/ meetings			

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

8.	Other activities; <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Reports generation</i>• <i>Publicity making</i>• <i>Special assignments within or outside the University, etc.</i>• <i>Information compilation</i>• <i>ATRs</i>• <i>Any other (please specify)</i>			
----	--	--	--	--

* *Give short title or phrase. As proposed by the scientist and approved by the Reporting Officer (attach as in Annexure II). Indicate project title and whether PI/Co-PI.*

** *Total should add up to 100%*

*** *Extent and also indicate whether achieved within the time-frame set for the purpose*

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

2.1.1 Details of Outputs: (For the activities shown above). Please choose whichever is applicable and attach a summary report (about 400 words) on the most significant accomplishments during the year reported upon (as Annexure).

S. No	Activity	Contribution
1.	<p>Research:</p> <p><i>a) Research Activities:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Varieties/breeds/tree species released (ii) Management practices developed (iii) Process/concept/methodology developed (iv) Implements/tools developed (v) Any other (please specify) <p><i>b) Publications/ presentations/ documentation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Papers in research journals (National/International) (ii) Technical/popular articles (iii) Books (Authored/edited) (iv) Book chapters/technical bulletins/manuals (v) Working/concept papers (vi) Scientific/teaching reviews (vii) Presentation in workshops/seminars/ symposia/ conferences (viii) Compilation/documentation (ix) Any other (please specify) <p><i>c) Product development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Crop-based (ii) Animal-based, including vaccines (iii) Biological – biofertilizer, biopesticide, etc. (iv) IT based – database, software, etc. (v) Value-added products (vi) Any other (please specify) <p><i>d) Intellectual property generation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Patents (ii) Copyrights (iii) Designs (iv) PPV – registered only (v) Any other (please specify) <p><i>e) Contribution through AICRPs (as a member)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Technology assessment and refinement (ii) Release of technology to farmers (iii) Feasibility testing (iv) Prototypes developed/manufactured/ supplied (v) Any other (please specify) 	

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

S. No.	Activity	Contribution
2.	<p><i>Transfer of technology:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Technology assessed and refined b) Trainings organized c) Demonstration/ exhibition/ field day/farmers fair d) Inputs supplied e) Innovative methodology developed f) FLDs conducted g) Lectures delivered h) Any other (please specify) 	
3.	<p><i>Teaching/ Academic activity:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Courses designed and taught b) Students guided c) Resource material/methodology developed d) Any other (please specify) 	
4.	<p><i>Training:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Programmes developed and organized b) Resource material developed c) Any other (please specify) 	
5.	<p><i>Organizing Workshops/ seminars/ symposia/ conferences:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Conceptualized and organized b) Served as convener or co-convener/coordinator c) Invited as key speaker in scientific meetings (National/International) d) Any other (please specify) 	
6.	<p><i>Institutional support:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Member Secretary – RAC/ IRC/ IMC/ PME Cell/ IPR Cell/ Technical Cell/ HRD Cell/ CPC/ QRT b) Editorship – Annual report/ institute publications c) I/c Central facilities – Lab, library, hostel, etc. d) Admin/Management/Coordination (Chairman/ Member of Institute Committees) e) Development of infrastructure, farm, lab, etc. f) Seed production and distribution g) Management of farm, animal sheds, fishing vessels h) Development of remote, tribal/underprivileged areas/ communities i) Participation in Village Adoption Programmes by the Institute j) Mobilization of resource through inter-institutional projects, including PPP mode 	

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

S. No.	Activity	Contribution
	k) Any other (please specify)	
7.	<i>Special assignments:</i> a) Special assignments – National b) Special assignments – International c) Compilation of documents – Vision, EFC/SFC, etc. d) Other general institutional activities (reports/ publicity/ special assignments within or outside the University, etc.) e) Membership of Committees of other Institutes – IMC, IMTU, etc. f) Any other (please specify)	
8.	Any other (please specify)	

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

3. Peer Recognition:

S.N.	Activity	Remarks
1.	Awards/ fellowships received <i>(National; International; Institutional/ Professional Societies; Best paper/ poster/ honours received; Any other – please specify)</i>	
2.	Professional Societies <i>(Membership; Editorship for journals; Any other – please specify)</i>	
3.	Review of papers/reports/proposals, as referee	
4.	Any other (please specify)	

4. Resource Generation*:

S.N.	Activity	Remarks
1.	Consultancy services provided	
2.	Contract research	
3.	Special national/ international projects	
4.	Commercialization of technology	
5.	Summer or Winter Schools	
6.	Training programmes offered	
7.	Supply of seeds, biological, vaccines, etc.	
8.	Analysis of soils, water, plant or animal products	
9.	Supply of prototypes of implements	
10.	Any other (please specify)	

** In terms of rupees*

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

5. Professional growth and development: *Please give details of the programmes attended within India and on deputation abroad.*

S.N.	Programme Attended	Institute and Place	Period
1.	Training / Refresher Courses/ Summer/Winter Schools		
2.	Seminars/ Workshops/ Symposia		
3.	Conferences/ Meetings		
4.	Any other (Please specify)		

Place and Date

Signature of the Scientist reported upon

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

Part – 2.1.2: Additional information to be filled in by the Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director of the University.

S. No.	Activity	Targets (Institutional)	Achievements	Reasons for Shortfall, if any
1.	Human resource management:			
	a) Recruitment			
	b) Timely assessment of Technical, Administrative Staff and Scientists at the Institute			
	c) Human resource development			
	d) Redress the employee's grievances at the institute			
2.	Financial management: <i>(Division/ Station/ Institute/Department/ College)</i>			
	a) Resource generation			
	b) Budget utilization			
	c) New externally funded projects			
3.	Intellectual property management:			
	a) Identification and protection			
	b) Technologies commercialized			
4.	Management/ promotion of scientific collaboration			
	a) National			
	b) International			
5.	Conducting meetings and follow-up action: IRC/ RAC / ITMU /IMC / QRT/ International events, etc			
6.	Public/ Farmers Outreach a)Publicity/ Interaction b)Public advocacy and outreach c)Interaction with KVKs			

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

S. No.	Activity	Targets (Institutional)	Achievements	Reasons for Shortfall, if any
7.	Visit to provide guidance and support (<i>Regional Stations/ Centers</i>)			
8.	Completion of activities in time (<i>Annual Report</i>)			
9.	Implementation of developmental activities of Government of India viz. Swatch Bharat programme, development of alternate source of energy viz., establishment of roof-top solar panel systems at the Institute.			
10.	Responsiveness to important communication received from University.			
11.	Implementation of flagship programmes of Ministries/ Departments by the Institute.			
12.	Any other (please specify)			

Signature of
Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

PART – 3: Assessment by the Reporting Officer

3.1 Length of service of the Scientist being reported under your supervision

3.2 Comments on Part – 2:

Please make an objective comment on Part 2 (from 1 to 3), and Part 2.1 or 2.2 or 2.3 (as the case may be), as well as on the summary report made by the Scientist. While commenting, please take due note of the shortfalls / constraints mentioned by the Scientist as well as the extent to which the resources and facilities committed at the time of setting targets were provided (maximum of 100 words).

3.3 Assessment of Significant Achievements

Please score individually the group of indicators under each of the following parameters on a scale of 1-10, **10** being the highest grade and **1** the lowest. Grading on each of the parameter of assessment is the mean score of all the indicators included under it (*Please follow the guidelines given in Annexure III A & B for grading*).

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

Sl. No.	Indicators	Marks (1-10 scale)	Weightage assigned	Total obtained (W x M)
1.	Research/ Teaching/ Extension Activities & Peer Recognition	M ¹	W ¹	
2.	Contribution to Research/ Education/ Extension Management	M ²	W ²	
3.	Resource Generation	M ³	W ³	
4.	Professional growth & development	M ⁴	W ⁴	
5.	Personal attributes	M ⁵	W ⁵	
6.	Functional competency	M ⁶	W ⁶	
7.	Participation in Institutional activities	M ⁷	W ⁷	

$(M^1 \times W^1) + (M^2 \times W^2) + (M^3 \times W^3) + (M^4 \times W^4) + (M^5 \times W^5) + (M^6 \times W^6) + (M^7 \times W^7)$
 Final Grading: -----
 100

S. No.	Grade	Category
1.	8.5 – 10.0	Outstanding
2.	7.0 – 8.4	Very Good
3.	5.5 – 6.9	Good
4.	4.0 – 5.4	Average
5.	< 4.0	Below Average

Note: Against work output/ personal attributes/ functional competence, priority work out put and overall grade:

- (i) Any grading of 1 or 2, and ‘Below Average’ to be adequately justified by way of specific failures.
- (ii) Any grading of 9 or 10, and ‘Outstanding’ to be justified with respect to specific accomplishments.
- (iii) Rating should be done against a large population of peer group of Scientists that may be currently working under the Reporting Officer.

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

3.4 General Assessment:

(i) Please comment on the state of health of the Scientist.

(ii) Please comment on the integrity of the Scientist by circling one of the following options:

- Beyond doubt
- Nothing adverse heard against
- Doubtful

Note: Instructions of Government of India to be followed in case of adverse remarks

(iii) Please comment on the attitude of the Scientist towards Scheduled Caste / Scheduled Tribe / Weaker Sections of the Society; his / her understanding and willingness to deal with them.

(iv) Please comment on the major strengths of the Scientist.

(v) Suggested area of training/skill upgradation.

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

3.5 Overall Grading:

Outstanding/ Very Good/ Good/ Average/ Below Average

(Based on the overall grade obtained at 3.3)

Signature of the Reporting Officer

Name (in Block Letters)

Designation.....

Place:

Date:

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

3.6 Remarks and Overall Grading by the Reviewing Officer.

3.6.1 Length of service of the Scientist under your supervision and guidance.

**3.6.2 Do you agree with the comments made by the Reporting Officer in 3.2?
Is there anything you wish to modify? Please give reasons.**

Section	Yes	No	Remarks
3.2			
3.3			
3.4			
3.5			

3.6.3 Grading: Outstanding/ Very Good/ Good/ Average/ Below Average

Justification if different from Reporting Officer

Signature of the Reviewing Officer

Name (in Block Letters).....

Designation.....

Place :

Date :

**Comment of Director Education/ Director Research
(in case of HoD and Chief Scientist)**

I agree/ disagree with grade given by Review Officer.

If not agree with the grade given by Review Officer, give the details with justification with supporting documents on separate sheet.

Director Education/ Director Research

Accepted by the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor, RPCAU, Pusa

Guidelines

Annexure I. Filling of Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) Form

1. The Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) form for the Scientific Personnel is to be filled by the concerned Administrative Office, the Scientist reported upon, the Reporting Officer and the Reviewing Officer.
2. Part-1 of the APAR is to be filled by the Administrative Office of the Institute/ Headquarters where the Scientist has been working in the period reported upon. Since this part involves details of the service of the scientist at various Institutes, his/her academic qualification and the nature of leave availed by him/her, he/she is required to submit all such information to the concerned Administrative Office from time to time.

While filling up these items, scientists may match them with what they have indicated in their six-monthly targets and achievements that are submitted.

3. Part-2 of the APAR proforma endeavours at the self-assessment of the Scientist reported upon. The targets set and the achievements made, along with time spent, against each activity should be given. Also, the constraints faced in accomplishing these targets, if any, should be highlighted.
4. The Scientist being reported upon is required to submit a summary report in about 400 words on the most significant accomplishments during the year reported upon.
6. In Part-3.1 of the APAR proforma, the Reporting Officer is required to write the grade (score) on 1 – 10 scale against each of the listed indicators under the three parameters in order to more objectively assess the achievements of the Scientist reported upon using weighted average method. The final cumulative weighted average can thus be arrived and reported.
7. Additionally, the Reporting Officer has to offer his/her comments on general assessment for the Scientist reported upon.
8. In Part-3.2 of the APAR proforma, the Reviewing Officer shall express his/her agreement or suggest modifications on the assessment made by the Reporting Officer and then indicate his/her final grading.

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

Annexure II: Setting Targets

This is one of the basic requirements that is vital for proper assessment of the performance of scientists in RPCAU, Pusa. Quality of assessment can be greatly enhanced by paying due attention to this critical activity. This has to be necessarily a joint exercise by the Scientist reported upon and the Reporting Officer concerned. While the Scientist proposes targets for the coming year, in terms of distinct activities, the Reporting Officer accords concurrence. On this premise, the following form has to be completed within first 15 days of the reporting period to set realistic and acceptable targets. Also as a mid-year exercise, the targets agreed upon at the beginning of the year have to be reviewed again during September/October and minor changes are to be made wherever necessary.

Please indicate the major activities planned along with expected key outputs.

S. No.	Major Activities Planned	On-going or New	Time Requirement (%)*	Expected Key Outputs**
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

* Total should add up to 100%

** Please quantify wherever possible

Accepted by the Reporting Officer

Proposed by the Scientist

Signature with date.....

Signature with date.....

Name.....

Name.....

Designation.....

Designation.....

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

Annexure III: Grading of Scientists by Reporting and Reviewing Officers

A. Weightage Factors

Weightage for the parameters with reference to the different categories of scientists is placed in Table-A for reference.

Sl. No.	Parameters	Weightage (W ¹ to W ⁷)	
1.	Research/ Teaching/ Extension Activities & Peer Recognition*	30	
2.	Contribution to Research/ Education/ Extension Management*	30	
3.	Resource Generation	05	
4.	Professional growth & development	05	
5.	Personal attributes	10	
6.	Functional competency	10	
7.	Participation in Institutional activities	10	

* As applicable to the functions assigned.

B. Grading: Following guidelines may be observed to award grade (score) against each of the indicators included under the three major parameters considered for assessment.

B.1 Work Output - Professional

i) Accomplishment of planned work / work allotted as per objects allotted (*level of meeting expected output*).

Far below expectation		→		Meets expectation		→		Consistently exceeds expectation	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

ii) Outputs (*quantum of various work outputs from research, education and extension activities like technologies, publications, academic programmes, training, transfer of technology, products developed, etc.*).

Very low		→		Moderate		→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

iii) Quality of output (*how well meets the objectives; accuracy and thoroughness in handling the assigned work*).

Poor quality		—————→		Assignments carried out well and in time		—————→		Exceeds all measures for expected quality	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

iv) Professional knowledge and skills and analytical ability (*depth and uniqueness of knowledge and skills; ability to identify cause of the problem by reducing it to significant components in a logical and systematic manner, and use realistic approaches to solve it after systematic synthesis*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

v) Accomplishment of exceptional work/ unforeseen tasks performed (*peer recognition of results of exceptional quality from tasks not included in the targets set at the beginning; special awards and rewards received*).

No recognition		—————→		Moderate recognition		—————→		Very high recognition	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

B.2 Work Output – Institutional

1) **Accomplishment of planned work/ work allotted as per the subjects allotted** (*level of meeting expected output*).

a) Implementation of E-governance Schemes. (Effective & efficient implementation of priority schemes in timely manner)

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

b) Digitization of land accounts. (Proper digitization of land record & its annual maintenances as per revenue code)

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) Establishment of roof-top solar panel systems. (Taking into Nos. of panels installed, total capacity of power generation of panel and production in particular year).

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

d) Timely assessment of Technical, Administrative Staff and Scientists. (No. of staff for whose probation cleared or assessment done, on time and with delay)

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

e) Redressal of employee's grievances. (Record of redressal of employees grievances, conducting meeting of Grievance Committee, Women Committee etc. timely taking follow up action, hearing of cases related to service matter etc.

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

f) Responsiveness to important communication from the University. (Responsive towards communication received from the University. Submission of timely reports to concerned authorities / officers of the University.

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

g) Implementation of flagship programmes of Ministries / Departments. (Implementation of flagship schemes related to agriculture, farmers, research and education.

Very poor		—————>		Moderate		—————>		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

B.3. Personal Attributes

i) Attitude to work (*interest shown towards job; industrious and hardworking, passion for excellence; readiness to accept change*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

ii) Innovativeness and initiative (*ingenuity and creativity to evolve new ideas and concepts, and handle unusual situations; ability to recognize what needs to be done and organize things on the own to get started*).

Very poor		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

iii) Sense of responsibility (*commitment to institutional goals; exhibiting accountability for the assignments taken up*).

Very low commitment and accountability		—————→		Moderate commitment and accountability		—————→		Very high commitment and accountability	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

iv) Maintenance of discipline (*acceptance and delivery of assignments with a high sense of responsibility; punctuality; following institutional norms and procedures*).

Highly indisciplined		—————→		Disciplined		—————→		Very highly disciplined	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

v) Communication skills (*ability to listen; effectively organize, present and sell ideas and information orally and by writing to others*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

vi) Leadership qualities (*ability to develop vision, foresight and judgment; properly judge and delegate assignments to others; create and maintain suitable work climate to get the best out of people; maintain poise under pressure*).

Very poor		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Exceptional	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

vii) Inter-personal relations (*tact, courtesy and sincerity in personal contacts; friendliness and helpfulness to secure cooperation from others without positional authority*).

Very poor		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

B.4. Functional Competence

i) Knowledge of rules/ regulations/ procedures in the area of function and ability to apply them correctly (*aptitude and potential for general administration*).

Very poor		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very good	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

ii) Managerial skills (*ability to plan, schedule and organize work by making effective use of available resources; set realistic goals and workable course of action; effectively monitor the progress and evaluate the results*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

iii) Strategic planning ability (*evolving appropriate strategies, plans and schedules and making adjustments as per the emerging needs while still maintaining the overall effectiveness*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

iv) Decision making ability (*developing alternative courses of action based on collection and analysis of factual information, and willingly taking decisions in a timely and effective manner*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

v) Coordination ability (*coordinating various purpose-oriented activities undertaken by ensuring active participation and cooperation of people associated with them*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

vi) Ability to motivate and develop the scientists and other staff working with them (*encouraging the scientists and other staff by according due recognition to their efforts and suitably rewarding them; developing and executing necessary HRD plans for their professional and personal growth and development*).

Very low		—————→		Moderate		—————→		Very high	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

vii) Resource generation (*ability to mobilize additional funds through outside projects, consultancy services and commercialization of technologies*).

None		—————		Something		—————		Appreciable	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Annual Performance Assessment Report (APAR) of Chief Scientist/ H.O.D./ Dean/ Director

viii) Budget utilization (*extent of utilization of budgetary allocation to various activities approved by the competent authority*).

Less utilized		→		Partly utilized		→		Fully utilized	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10